

Go SOLAR Florida Partner Meeting  
Florida Solar Energy Center  
9:00 a.m. – 12:00 noon  
April 28, 2016

Reminder that this meeting is being recorded.

1. Introductions (Kay)
2. Go SOLAR – A Review (Jeff)
3. General guidance on how Broward will evaluate partner invoices (Kay)
  - a. Invoices must reflect work completed no later than 4/30/16.
  - b. Final invoices to be received by May 30, 2016.
  - c. Partner to demonstrate implementation in a recorded session.
    - i. From partner customer touch point to FSEC and return.
    - ii. We need partners' URLs.
  - d. Deliverables will be evaluated against acceptance criteria in each partner contract.
  - e. Evaluation will include in-kind contribution.
4. The minimally viable product (Kay)
  - a. What is the minimally viable product?
    - i. We must have completed a reliably functioning version of the solar plan designer tool, or SPD.
    - ii. The SPD must produce at least one design plan that is code compliant (as determined by an objective third party).
    - iii. FSEC certified contractors (i.e., trained by FSEC in the use of the system) must be able to access the SPD, via the partner jurisdictions, either directly or through gosolarflorida.org, and obtain a set of code compliant plans.
  - b. How the minimally viable product will work
    - i. Jurisdiction>gosolarflorida.org>FSEC>gosolarflorida.org>jurisdiction.
    - ii. Can enter and leave any point in the circle as determined by jurisdiction or trained user.
5. Demo of the system as it now stands through creation of a single set of code compliant plans (Michael)
  - a. Partners' perspective on the team having met the minimally viable product requirements
6. Status update on six month extension and moving forward with the extension (Jeff)
7. Moving forward (Kay)
  - a. Extension vs. no extension.
    - i. Extension – more time to work on deliverables.
    - ii. No Extension – deliverables must be completed by April 30, 2016.
  - b. Problem from Broward's perspective (and we emphasize Broward's perspective): Extension or no, FSEC has to stay engaged in continuous improvement of the system; partners' contribution significant to FSEC's success at staying engaged. **How do we support both these things happening?** Examples of things this on-going effort might address:
    - i. Ensure the development of a substantially more robust SPD system.
    - ii. Ensure plans produced by the SPD are accepted by all Florida building officials.
    - iii. Legislative changes.
    - iv. Marketing the SPD.
    - v. Aggressive validation of the system.
    - vi. Establishing the model for future partners.
8. Reminder on Market Maturity Indicators (MMIs) (Kay)
9. Questions (Kay)
10. Thank you (Kay)