

LIST 4

RFEI SUMMARY REQUIREMENTS	COMPARATIVE SUMMARY					
Company Name	Resource Enterprises, Inc.	SP Recycling Corporation (SPRC)	Strategic Environmental Initiatives, LLC (EOI received past deadline due to mailing issues. Postmarked date was before deadline)	Urbaser S.A. and Valorga	WaterSmart Environmental Inc.	Wheelabrator North Broward Inc., Wheelabrator South Broward Inc., Waste Management Inc. of Florida, WM Recycling America, L.L.C.
Company Contact Information						
Contact Name	Brian R. Hogan	Chris Martin	Michael B. Reed	Javier Dominguez	Chuck Steiner	Jack Ristau
Contact Address	1050 Winter Street Suite 1000 Waltham, MA 02451	3200 North Course Lane Pompano Beach, FL 33069	1300 S. Armstrong Drive, Suite 101-B3 Titusville, FL 32780	4204 Biltmore Drive Freehold, NJ 07728	PO Box 26346 Shawnee Mission, KS 66225-6345	Wheelabrator Technologies Inc. 4 Liberty Lane West Hampton, New Hampshire 03482
Contact Telephone Number	Tel: 781-530-3773 Fax: 781-530-3606	Tel: 404-313-1986 Fax: 954-974-1246	Tel: 321-412-0717	Tel/Fax: 732-252-6039 Cell: 305-904-9615	Tel: 913.897.2727	Tel: 603-929-3455 Fax: 603-929-3123
Contact E-mail Address	brh@resourcenterprises.net	Not Provided	ELSBroker@yahoo.com	jdominguez@urbaser.com	ChuckSteiner@watersmart.com	jristau@wm.com
General Company Information						
EOI Overview	WTE Facility	Recycling	Innovative Technology	Innovative Technology	Innovative Technology	Collection, Haul, Recycling, WTE
The business structure (corporation, partnership, sole owner, joint venture, etc.) of the Respondent.	Corporation	Corporation	Partnership with Waste Services, Inc.	Joint Venture	Incorporated	Joint venture between wholly owned subsidiaries of Waste Management, Inc.
Name of the Respondent's parent company.	Resource Enterprises does not have a parent company.	SP Newsprint Company which is a limited equal partnership between Media General, McClatchy Corporation, and Cox Enterprises.	Strategic Environmental Initiatives, LLC	Urbaser is 100% owned by Activities of Construction and Services (ACS) Group, Urbaser owns 90% of Valorga.	WaterSmart Environmental.	Waste Management, Inc.
The area of expertise of the Respondent.	Resource Enterprises' area of expertise is in solid waste management, particularly on-site disposal and recycling.	SPRC's area of expertise is operating recycling processing facilities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 2,500 tpd disposal capacity contracted with Waste Services, Inc. at their JED Landfill in Osceola County, FL. ▪ Proposed Industrial Park, cogeneration facility 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Urbasers area of expertise is waste management including household, medical, industrial, hazardous, demolition and construction residues and used mineral oils. ▪ Valorga area of expertise is MSW anaerobic digestion. 	WaterSmart Environmental, Inc. area of expertise is designing and building a wide variety of water treatment equipment.	Solid Waste Management Services

RFEI SUMMARY REQUIREMENTS	COMPARATIVE SUMMARY					
Company Name	Resource Enterprises, Inc.	SP Recycling Corporation (SPRC)	Strategic Environmental Initiatives, LLC (EOI received past deadline due to mailing issues. Postmarked date was before deadline)	Urbaser S.A. and Valorga	WaterSmart Environmental Inc.	Wheelabrator North Broward Inc., Wheelabrator South Broward Inc., Waste Management Inc. of Florida, WM Recycling America, L.L.C.
			<p>combining a power plant that burns natural gas and fuel oil for electricity generation that powers a desalination facility with waste heat turned to syngas in a plasma arc vitrification reactor that processes hazardous waste and sewage sludge.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Also cellulose to ethanol and/or electricity and plastic to petrochemical processing. 			
Specify the financial resources presented by the Respondent in order to implement any or all component(s) of the proposed service(s).	Not Provided	Not Provided	Not Provided	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Urbaser is 100% owned by ACS Group which had total revenues of \$19.2 billion in 2007. Urbaser had total consolidated revenues of \$1.64 billion in 2007. Valorga did not provide financial resource information. 	Not Provided	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> WM is an investment-grade Fortune 200 Company In 2006, WM reported nearly \$13.4 billion in revenues and \$20.6 billion in total assets.
Provide information related to professional ability of the	Resource Enterprises has 35-years of experience in solid waste management, specializing in medical	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SPRC supplies their newsprint mills (owned by the parent company) with 100% of their fiber needs. 	Not Provided	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Urbaser is 100% owned by ACS Group which is the fourth largest construction group in the world. 	Not Provided	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> WM has over 800 local employees that provide recycling, hauling, transfer and environmentally safe landfill disposal services to more than 380,000 public and private

RFEI SUMMARY REQUIREMENTS	COMPARATIVE SUMMARY					
Company Name	Resource Enterprises, Inc.	SP Recycling Corporation (SPRC)	Strategic Environmental Initiatives, LLC (EOI received past deadline due to mailing issues. Postmarked date was before deadline)	Urbaser S.A. and Valorga	WaterSmart Environmental Inc.	Wheelabrator North Broward Inc., Wheelabrator South Broward Inc., Waste Management Inc. of Florida, WM Recycling America, L.L.C.
Respondent in order to implement the proposed service(s).	waste incinerators.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ SPRC operates 24 plants in the southeast US and 3 plants in the northeast US. ▪ The plants recycling over 350,000 tons of materials that are sold domestically. ▪ The plants provide processing for 50,000 tons of recyclables for other companies each year. 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Valorga is the current world leader in MSW anaerobic digestion. 		<p>sector customers.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ WM has served the District and Broward County for over three decades. During this time, they have managed over 30 million tons of solid waste and recycled over one million tons of material.
Provide related experience of the Respondent in performing the proposed service(s).	Not Provided	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Processed 72,000 tpy of curbside volume since 1984 for Orlando, FL; Apopka, FL; Longwood, FL; and Winter Springs, FL. ▪ Processed 50,000 tpy of curbside volume since 1980 for Atlanta, GA; Dekalb County, GA; Roswell, GA; and Smyrna, GA. ▪ Processed 48,000 tpy of curbside volume since 2000 for Louisville, KY. ▪ Processed 32,000 tpy of curbside volume since 1981 for Polk County, FL; Winter Haven, FL; and Lakeland, FL. ▪ Processed 26,000 tpy of curbside volume since 1993 for Gainesville, FL; Williston, FL; and Alachua, FL. ▪ Processed 25,000 tpy of curbside volume from 1988-2002 and 2006- 	Not Provided	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Urbaser has designed, constructed and currently operates five integrated facilities since 1995, where several mechanical, biological, and thermal processes are combined. ▪ Since 1988, Valorga has developed more than 20 MSWE processing facilities, of which 10 have similar or higher throughput than that proposed for the District. 	Not Provided	WM currently provides their proposed service to the District and Broward County and has been doing so for approximately 30-years.

RFEI SUMMARY REQUIREMENTS	COMPARATIVE SUMMARY					
Company Name	Resource Enterprises, Inc.	SP Recycling Corporation (SPRC)	Strategic Environmental Initiatives, LLC (EOI received past deadline due to mailing issues. Postmarked date was before deadline)	Urbaser S.A. and Valorga	WaterSmart Environmental Inc.	Wheelabrator North Broward Inc., Wheelabrator South Broward Inc., Waste Management Inc. of Florida, WM Recycling America, L.L.C.
		present for St. Lucie, FL; St. Lucie West, FL; Ft. Pierce, FL; and St. Lucie County, FL.				
List the proposing company's references (contact name, number, address).	Not Provided	<p>Thomas Smith Solid Waste Division Chief Prince William County, VA 4370 Ridgewood Center Dr. Prince William, VA 22192-5308 Tel:703-792-6254</p> <p>Edward Sparks Director of Pubic Works Polk County, FL 10 Environmental Loop South Winter Haven, FL 33880-1069 Tel: 863-284-4319</p> <p>Polly Kartman Managing Director Indian River Solid Waste Disposal District 1325 74th Ave Southwest Vero Beach, FL 32966 Tel: 772-770-5112</p>	Not Provided	<p>Eduardo Llorente Energy Division General Manager Avda. Tenerife, 4-6 28700 San Sebastian de los Reyes Madrid - Spain ellorente@urbaser.com</p> <p>Carlos Perez Alonso Technical Design and Project Department Manager Avda. Tenerife, 4-6 28700 San Sebastian de los Reyes Madrid - Spain caperez@urbaser.com</p>	Not Provided	<p>Patrick N. Yancy Solid Waste Administrator Martin County, FL PO Box 9000 Stuart, FL 34995</p> <p>Tom Willi County Administrator Monroe County, FL 1100 Simonton St. Suite 205 Key West, FL 33040</p> <p>*WM provided references for 16 of their WTE facilities. The reference contact information is available in the EOI.</p>
Indicate the Respondent's years of experience in providing the proposed service(s).	Resource Enterprises has provided solid waste management for 35-years.	SPRC has operated recycling processing facilities since 1980.	Not Provided	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Urbaser has 20-years of experience, with more than sixty municipal waste processing, waste-to-energy and disposal facilities in operation. Valorga has almost 20-years of experience. 	Not Provided	WM has over 30 years experience of providing the proposed services in Broward County.
Overall Company Approach						

RFEI SUMMARY REQUIREMENTS	COMPARATIVE SUMMARY					
Company Name	Resource Enterprises, Inc.	SP Recycling Corporation (SPRC)	Strategic Environmental Initiatives, LLC (EOI received past deadline due to mailing issues. Postmarked date was before deadline)	Urbaser S.A. and Valorga	WaterSmart Environmental Inc.	Wheelabrator North Broward Inc., Wheelabrator South Broward Inc., Waste Management Inc. of Florida, WM Recycling America, L.L.C.
Specify the role the Respondent will serve (technology vendor, overall program manager, project guarantor, single source provider, etc).	Single Source Provider	SPRC Corporation will serve as a single source provider for recycled materials.	Design, build, operate and transfer (DBOT).	Single Source Provider	Not Provided	Single Source Provider
Provide a description of the component(s) of the proposed plan(s) or product(s).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Resource Enterprises proposes to have the City of Amsterdam Waste and Energy Company (AEB) construct a waste-to-energy (WTE) facility at some site within Broward County. ▪ The WTE facility would be constructed and sold to the District for \$1 in exchange for a long term operating agreement. ▪ The District would own the facility and outsource the operation and maintenance of the facility. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ SPRC proposes the construction of a Materials Recycling Facility (MRF) by the District that will be leased to SPRC. Or if the District wishes, SPRC will build or lease a suitable building for processing recyclable materials. ▪ SPRC will provide the processing (sorting and bailing equipment) necessary to handle recycled materials. This equipment may either be purchased by the District or by SPRC. 	Cogeneration Industrial Park including recyclable sorting, desalination, plasma arc gasification, conversion of sludge to synthetic gas with a possible cellulose to ethanol or electricity facility and/or plastic to petrochemical facility.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Urbaser and Valorga propose to construct two (2) Municipal Waste Sorting Facilities (MWSF) and two Anaerobic Digestion BioGas Facilities (ADBF). ▪ One MWSF and ADBF would be constructed at the current North and South WTE facility sites. ▪ The MWSF will increase waste input capacity by 25% from 1.3 million tpy to 1.625 million tpy. ▪ The ADBF will treat the organic fraction of the waste stream and produce energy through biogas. 	Not Provided	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ WM is offering a full suite of services including collection, recycling, yard waste processing, materials and energy recovery as well as residue and non-processible waste disposal. ▪ These capabilities would be offered to the District under a single comprehensive Solid Waste Management Agreement (SWMA) which will meet 100% of the solid waste management service needs of the District.
Specify the proposed management approach.	Not Provided	Not Provided	Transfer and DBO	Not Provided	Not Provided	WM currently has operations in Broward County and they would rely on their local employees to aid with the implementation of their proposed services.
Provide a description of the pricing structure for the proposed	Not Provided	SPRC proposed two pricing structures. 1) Fixed purchase price: SPRC will pay the District a fixed purchase price for	Technology system is expected to fully pay for itself with saleable, reusable,	For a 20-year agreement, the price structure is as follows:	Not Provided	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Waste Disposal: \$63.65 per ton ▪ Yard Waste Processing: \$14.00 per cubic yard ▪ Recycling Processing: \$46.00 per

RFEI SUMMARY REQUIREMENTS	COMPARATIVE SUMMARY					
Company Name	Resource Enterprises, Inc.	SP Recycling Corporation (SPRC)	Strategic Environmental Initiatives, LLC (EOI received past deadline due to mailing issues. Postmarked date was before deadline)	Urbaser S.A. and Valorga	WaterSmart Environmental Inc.	Wheelabrator North Broward Inc., Wheelabrator South Broward Inc., Waste Management Inc. of Florida, WM Recycling America, L.L.C.
service(s).		all materials delivered to the MRF. For recyclables delivered in dual stream form, SPRC will offer a fixed purchase price of \$25/ton for all materials delivered. 2) Revenue sharing: SPRC will share a percentage of sales revenues with the District. The percentage will have to be negotiated once a more definitive agreement can be reached. SPRC will charge a per ton fee to process the recyclable material and share the revenue with the District.	and renewable products.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ 2500-2999 tpd: \$101/ton ▪ 3000-3499 tpd: \$100/ton ▪ 3500-3999 tpd: \$99/ton ▪ 4000-4999 tpd: \$98/ton 		ton These prices are in October 2007 dollars and assume that all District waste is sent to WM for disposal and processing.
Indicate the portion, component, or amount of District waste to be managed by the proposed system(s).	Resource Enterprises would manage approximately 540,000 tpy of District waste.	SPRC would handle 100% of recyclable commodities generated by the District.	Proposed systems are a 1,500 tpd MSW reduction plant, 200 tpd plasma arc facility (medical wastes and sludge), 20 million GPD desalination, and 135 tpd cellulose production.	Urbaser and Valorga will process all District waste.	Not Provided	WM would manage all District waste.
List the names of the facilities currently owned and/or operated by the proposing company that may be incorporated into the proposed approach.	Resource Enterprises does not own facilities that may be incorporated into their proposed approach.	Not Provided	Partner Waste Services, Inc. has 2,500 tpd of capacity available to clients of Strategic Env. Initiatives. Honolulu, Hawaii has a plasma arc reactor plant to destroy hazardous medical waste that has been in operation for 40	Urbaser and Valorga do not own facilities that may be incorporated into their proposed approach.	Not Provided	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Wheelabrator North Broward, ▪ Wheelabrator South Broward, ▪ Trash Transfer Stations, ▪ Ash Monofill, and ▪ the CDSL Landfill

RFEI SUMMARY REQUIREMENTS	COMPARATIVE SUMMARY					
Company Name	Resource Enterprises, Inc.	SP Recycling Corporation (SPRC)	Strategic Environmental Initiatives, LLC (EOI received past deadline due to mailing issues. Postmarked date was before deadline)	Urbaser S.A. and Valorga	WaterSmart Environmental Inc.	Wheelabrator North Broward Inc., Wheelabrator South Broward Inc., Waste Management Inc. of Florida, WM Recycling America, L.L.C.
List the names of new facilities envisioned to be developed or acquired in or out-of-County in order to assume the envisioned responsibilities.	Resource Enterprises will construct a WTE facility at some location within Broward County.	A MRF would be constructed at some location within Broward County.	Desalination, MSW reduction facility, plasma arc gasification of HHW and sludge, cellulosic conversion (ethanol or electricity).	Urbaser and Valorga propose to construct two (2) Municipal Waste Sorting Facilities (MWSF) and two (2) Anaerobic Digestion BioGas Facilities (ADBF).	Not Provided	Currently, WM does not plan to construct any new facilities.
Provide sketches, drawings, photos, etc. of existing installations or any other graphics that would convey the proposed concept provided.	Resource Enterprises provided a DVD outlining the processes of the proposed AEB WTE facility.	Not Provided	Attached in EOI	Attached in EOI	Not Provided	Attached in EOI
Indicate how the Respondent will involve the public in the implementation of their proposed approach.	Not Provided	SPRC will employ a Public Education manager to ensure quality participation rates and continued recycling program growth.	Not Provided	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ From the beginning of the permitting phase, Urbaser and Valorga will be involved pro-actively in a public outreach program. ▪ To further facilitate the transmittal of information to the public, the proposed Facility will include an Environmental Room. ▪ The Environmental Room will contain a complete model of the Facility with explanatory panels of each of the waste treatment processes. ▪ Also, as part of the Facility, a Visitor 	Not Provided	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ WM is committed to working with the District and to contributing their communication capabilities to develop an enhanced informational program that educates and updates the citizens regarding the District's and WM's services. ▪ In addition, if the District wishes, WM will publish direct mail pieces highlighting their facilities' operations, performance and how a facility is integrated into the District's overall solid waste management system.

RFEI SUMMARY REQUIREMENTS	COMPARATIVE SUMMARY					
Company Name	Resource Enterprises, Inc.	SP Recycling Corporation (SPRC)	Strategic Environmental Initiatives, LLC (EOI received past deadline due to mailing issues. Postmarked date was before deadline)	Urbaser S.A. and Valorga	WaterSmart Environmental Inc.	Wheelabrator North Broward Inc., Wheelabrator South Broward Inc., Waste Management Inc. of Florida, WM Recycling America, L.L.C.
				<p>Viewing Circuit may be constructed from which visitors can safely watch the operation of each Facility module.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In addition, Facility tours will be guided by personnel knowledgeable with all aspects of the Facility. 		
Proposed Technology Description						
<p>Provide a description of the proposed plan(s) or product(s) that would aid in the District's solid waste management efforts.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A WTE facility would be constructed at some site within Broward County. The WTE facility would be sold to the District for \$1. The WTE facility would process 540,000 tpy of District waste. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> SPRC will add plastic containers of two gallons or less and aseptic packaging to the curbside recycling program. Place front end loader collection systems at different locations within the District to collect old newspapers from the "grass roots" of the community. Provide a drop off program for all types and sizes of plastic containers and products, i.e. plastic buckets, furniture, pipes and toys. These practices will increase recycling rates by 5% during the first year of operation. 	<p>Respondent detailed several components of an Industrial Park to handle all of the District's waste, including MSW reduction and recycling, cellulosic conversion, plasma arc reactor, and desalination.</p>	<p>All District waste would be brought to two locations, where it would be processed to create RDF and ultimately energy.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> WaterSmart Environmental's project plan will include extensive agricultural production and processing that additionally includes renewable energy, biofuels and water production along with 100% recycling of all byproducts. For each of the 26 buildings to be constructed, 50,00 people from the local population will be served. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> WM is offering a full suite of services including collection, recycling, yard waste processing, materials and energy recovery as well as residue and non-processible waste disposal. These capabilities would be offered to the District under a single comprehensive Solid Waste Management Agreement (SWMA) which will meet 100% of the solid waste management service needs of the District.

RFEI SUMMARY REQUIREMENTS	COMPARATIVE SUMMARY					
Company Name	Resource Enterprises, Inc.	SP Recycling Corporation (SPRC)	Strategic Environmental Initiatives, LLC (EOI received past deadline due to mailing issues. Postmarked date was before deadline)	Urbaser S.A. and Valorga	WaterSmart Environmental Inc.	Wheelabrator North Broward Inc., Wheelabrator South Broward Inc., Waste Management Inc. of Florida, WM Recycling America, L.L.C.
Provide a description of the proposed technology(ies) process flows including all inputs, outputs, conversion methods, and related information.	The WTE facility will operate in a similar manner to other WTE facilities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ A MRF would be constructed to handle recyclable materials from the District. ▪ SPRC would sell the recyclable materials and share revenues with the District. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Desalination facility would convert deep underground brackish water into fresh water, reinjection brine back underground. ▪ MSW reduction/recycling facility would process or recycle 80-95% of MSW, including separation organics for conversion to ethanol or electricity. ▪ Plasma arc reactor would destroy medical waste. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Urbaser proposes to construct two (2) Municipal Waste Sorting Facilities (MWSF) and two Anaerobic Digestion BioGas Facilities (ADBF). ▪ One MWSF and ADBF would be constructed at the current North and South WTE facility sites. ▪ The MWSF will increase waste input capacity by 25% from 1.3 million tpy to 1.625 million tpy. ▪ The ADBF will treat the organic fraction of the waste stream and produce energy through biogas. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The WaterSmart Environmental Inc. solid waste management technology includes electricity generation, biofuels production, total landfill remediation, natural gas production, potable water production ▪ Opportunity to construct concrete wall and floor panels for low cost housing, for economical super green building construction, for economical massive greenhouse construction, and for economical roadway and other general construction. 	The proposed technologies are the same as those currently utilized to provide collection, recycling, yard waste processing, materials and energy recovery, and residue and non-processible waste disposal.
Provide the throughput capacity that can be accommodated by the proposed technology(ies).	The proposed WTE facility can accommodate up to 540,000 tpy.	Not Provided	The MSW reduction plant process 1,500 tpd at and the plasma arc reactor processes 200 tpd. The total is 1,700 tpd.	The two facilities have a throughput capacity of 1,630,000 tpy.	Not Provided	The throughput capacity is 3,800 tpd
Provide examples of similar projects completed by the proposing company.	Not Provided	SPRC provides similar service to various cities and counties in FL including Alachua County, St. Lucie County, Gainesville, St, Lucie, St. Lucie West, Orlando, Winter Haven, etc.	Not Provided	Urbaser 1. TIR MADRID - 400,000 tpy waste sorting, recycling, composting, and WTE facility for the municipality of Madrid, Spain. Operating since 1998 2. ECOPARK - 240,000 tpy waste sorting,	Not Provided	Local examples of similar projects include Wheelabrator North and South WTE facilities, the CDSL landfill, Trash Transfer Stations throughout the County, and hauling services.

RFEI SUMMARY REQUIREMENTS	COMPARATIVE SUMMARY					
Company Name	Resource Enterprises, Inc.	SP Recycling Corporation (SPRC)	Strategic Environmental Initiatives, LLC (EOI received past deadline due to mailing issues. Postmarked date was before deadline)	Urbaser S.A. and Valorga	WaterSmart Environmental Inc.	Wheelabrator North Broward Inc., Wheelabrator South Broward Inc., Waste Management Inc. of Florida, WM Recycling America, L.L.C.
				<p>recycling, composting and anaerobic digestion facility for EBESA, Barcelona metropolitan area, Spain. Operating since 2005</p> <p>3. MARSEILLE - 500,000 tpy waste sorting, recycling, composting, anaerobic digestion and WTE facility for EVERE SAS, in the community of Marseille, France. Under commissioning</p> <p>Valorga</p> <p>4. ECOPARC - 180,000 tpy anaerobic digestion facility for EBESA, Barcelona metropolitan area, Spain. Operational since 2004</p> <p>5. HANNOVER - 100,000 tpy anaerobic digestion facility for HESE UMWELT in the area of Hanover, Germany. Operational since 2005</p> <p>6. SHANGHAI - 280,000 tpy anaerobic digestion facility for Biomax Environmental Technology Ltd. In Shanghai, China. Operation since 2007</p>		

RFEI SUMMARY REQUIREMENTS	COMPARATIVE SUMMARY					
Company Name	Resource Enterprises, Inc.	SP Recycling Corporation (SPRC)	Strategic Environmental Initiatives, LLC (EOI received past deadline due to mailing issues. Postmarked date was before deadline)	Urbaser S.A. and Valorga	WaterSmart Environmental Inc.	Wheelabrator North Broward Inc., Wheelabrator South Broward Inc., Waste Management Inc. of Florida, WM Recycling America, L.L.C.
Indicate the pre-processing requirements of the proposed technology(ies).	Not Provided	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Recyclables would be collected and brought to the facility in a dual stream. ▪ If the District collected recyclables in a single stream, the price structure would be renegotiated. 	All pre-processing of MSW done at MSW reduction facility, including PSC patented technology which loads post-recycled MSW into a sealed vessel, applying heat, agitation, and pressure to sterilize and volume-reduce MSW to then separate into organic and inorganic components.	Not Provided	Not Provided	Not Provided
Indicate the site requirements for the proposed technology(ies).	Not Provided	Not Provided	Roughly 80 acres, as per "Typical Industrial Plant" diagram in EOI.	Each MWSF and ADBF will need an estimated land surface of 24-acres.	The first phase of the project consists of the construction of a single project building that measures 1 km x 1 km x 3.	WM proposes to use sites that they already own and operate. Therefore there are no necessary site requirements.
Indicate the total waste reduction by weight and volume of the proposed technology(ies)	The DVD created by AEB indicated the WTE facility reduced waste to 1% of its original volume.	Not Provided	Proposed 80-95% by volume.	The total waste reduction is 85-90%.	Not Provided	Not Provided
Indicate the environmental impacts and required controls of the proposed technology(ies).	The DVD created by AEB indicated the WTE facility has the highest environmental controls and produces no waste water and very little air pollutants.	Not Provided	Strategic Environmental Initiatives states that there will be no harmful emissions from their technology.	Urbaser and Valorga indicated that each technology supplier guarantees that the Facilities will meet or exceed environmental compliance requirements.	Greenhouse gas emissions and the resulting climate change carbon footprint from this technology are expected to be zero.	Not Provided
Provide the applicable revenues projected from the sale of products.	Not Provided	At the current average value per ton of collected commodities, SPRC estimates the revenue generated from District recyclables will be \$9,500,000 annually.	Technology system is expected to fully pay for itself with saleable, reusable, and renewable products.	Not Provided	Not Provided	Not Provided
Provide the	Not Provided	Not Provided	Technology system	Estimated capital costs	Not Provided	WM will use their current facilities,

RFEI SUMMARY REQUIREMENTS	COMPARATIVE SUMMARY					
Company Name	Resource Enterprises, Inc.	SP Recycling Corporation (SPRC)	Strategic Environmental Initiatives, LLC (EOI received past deadline due to mailing issues. Postmarked date was before deadline)	Urbaser S.A. and Valorga	WaterSmart Environmental Inc.	Wheelabrator North Broward Inc., Wheelabrator South Broward Inc., Waste Management Inc. of Florida, WM Recycling America, L.L.C.
approximate capital cost of the proposed technology(ies).			is expected to fully pay for itself with saleable, reusable, and renewable products.	are \$210 million. It's not clear if this is for all four facilities or two.		therefore there is no capital cost associated with their proposal.
Provide the approximate operating cost of the proposed technology(ies).	Not Provided	Not Provided	Technology system is expected to fully pay for itself with saleable, reusable, and renewable products.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Estimated operating costs are \$180 million, which represents the operating costs for the first year of operation. Of this \$180 million, \$48 million will be paid through energy production sales. 	Not Provided	Not Provided
Indicate if the Respondent owns potential development sites within Broward County. If so, state the location and description of the site.	Resource Enterprises does not own development sites within Broward County.	Not Provided	Not Provided	Urbaser and Valorga do not own potential development sites within Broward County.	Not Provided	WM currently owns many sites within Broward County where they operate their facilities.
Business Terms and Costs						
Indicate the proposed ownership arrangement.	The District would own the facility and be responsible for outsourcing the operation.	SPRC would own any equipment they provide and own any facility they construct.	Design, build, operate, and transfer of facility back to County after significant training completed.	Urbaser and Valorga would own the facilities.	The technology is marketed on a build-own operate basis.	Not Provided
Specify the minimum waste commitment that is economically viable.	Not Provided	SPRC would need a volume commitment of recyclable materials exceeding 80,000 tpy.	Not Provided	The minimum waste commitment to both facilities would be 1,300,000 tpy.	Not Provided	Not Provided
Indicate if contracting for	Not Provided	Not Provided	Not Provided	Urbaser and Valorga did not indicate if	Not Provided	WM stated that they would be responsible for utilizing any

RFEI SUMMARY REQUIREMENTS	COMPARATIVE SUMMARY					
Company Name	Resource Enterprises, Inc.	SP Recycling Corporation (SPRC)	Strategic Environmental Initiatives, LLC (EOI received past deadline due to mailing issues. Postmarked date was before deadline)	Urbaser S.A. and Valorga	WaterSmart Environmental Inc.	Wheelabrator North Broward Inc., Wheelabrator South Broward Inc., Waste Management Inc. of Florida, WM Recycling America, L.L.C.
spot market waste is required for the proposed system to work.				contracting for spot market waste is required.		processing capacity not used by the District.
Provide the estimated capital costs for development of the facility being proposed.	Not Provided	Not Provided	Technology system is expected to fully pay for itself with saleable, reusable, and renewable products.	Estimated capital costs are \$210 million.	The total estimated capital and development cost of the design-build-own-operate wastes to renewable energy project total \$727,347,200 utilizing both new and manufactured process equipment.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Additional capital investment will not be required since WM owns and operates the facilities used to manage the District's solid waste. Additional capital investments, which may be needed to meet new long-term planning goals of the District, will be provided for in a new Solid Waste Management Act that will be developed at a later date.
Specify the estimated operating costs of the facility.	Not Provided	Not Provided	Technology system is expected to fully pay for itself with saleable, reusable, and renewable products.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Estimated operating costs are \$180 million, which represents the operating costs for the first year of operation. Of this \$180 million, \$48 million will be paid through energy production sales. 	Not Provided	WM did not provide information related to their estimated operating costs for each facility used in their EOI.
Specify the estimated maintenance costs of the facility.	Not Provided	Not Provided	Technology system is expected to fully pay for itself with saleable, reusable, and renewable products.	Not Provided	Not Provided	Not Provided
Specify the required utility and consumable materials costs of the new facility.	Not Provided	Not Provided	Self sustaining once operating.	Not Provided	Not Provided	Currently, a new facility will not be constructed as part of their EOI.
Indicate the projected revenues to be generated by the	Not Provided	At the current average value per ton of collected commodities, SPRC estimates that the revenue	Self sustaining once operating.	Not Provided	Not Provided	Not Provided

RFEI SUMMARY REQUIREMENTS	COMPARATIVE SUMMARY					
Company Name	Resource Enterprises, Inc.	SP Recycling Corporation (SPRC)	Strategic Environmental Initiatives, LLC (EOI received past deadline due to mailing issues. Postmarked date was before deadline)	Urbaser S.A. and Valorga	WaterSmart Environmental Inc.	Wheelabrator North Broward Inc., Wheelabrator South Broward Inc., Waste Management Inc. of Florida, WM Recycling America, L.L.C.
proposed facility.		generated from District recyclables will be \$9,500,000 annually.				
Indicate what conditions would allow the District to minimize its costs (flow control issues, waste commitments, spot market policies, etc.).	Not Provided	Not Provided	Not Provided	Not Provided	Not Provided	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ WM stated that costs could be reduced by removing certain commodity and other unpredictable cost components from the contractor's initial/base service fee. For example, cost items such as fossil fuel, price escalation, etc. ▪ In addition, operating cost items, commonly called "pass-through" costs, should be paid directly by the District. In doing so, the additional costs that is associated with the contractor using its capital until reimbursement is received from the District, and can be deleted from the initial/base service fee.
Specify any performance guarantees are necessary for the proposed facility (waste processing, energy generation, consumable usage, waste volume reduction levels, etc).	Not Provided	Not Provided	Not Provided	Not Provided	Not Provided	WM stated that they are prepared to provide commonly accepted industry-based performance guarantees for the type of service provided.