

MINUTES

BROWARD COUNTY PLANNING COUNCIL

JUNE 28, 2012

MEMBERS Mayor Lamar Fisher, Chair
PRESENT: Commissioner Anne Castro, Vice Chair
Commissioner Claudette Bruck
Frederick Burton
Commissioner Bobby DuBose
Kenneth Fink
School Board Member Patricia Good
Mary D. Graham
Dan Hobby
Commissioner Keith London
Commissioner Michael S. Long
Commissioner Rita Mack
Louis Reinstein
Mayor Michael Udine

MEMBERS Tim Bascombe
ABSENT: Sara Case
Commissioner Sue Gunzburger
Commissioner Lisa Mallozzi
Sharon P. Ragoonan

ALSO Barbara Blake Boy, Planning Council Executive Director
PRESENT: Andrew Maurodis, Legal Counsel
Christy Dominguez, City of Hallandale Beach
Horacio Danovich, City of Pompano Beach
Nancy Cavender, The Laws Group

(A sign-in sheet reflecting those present is filed with the supplemental papers to the minutes of this meeting.)

A meeting of the Broward County Planning Council was held at 10:00 a.m. on Thursday, June 28, 2012, in Room 422 of the Broward County Governmental Center, Fort Lauderdale, Florida

CALL TO ORDER

Chair Lamar Fisher called the meeting to order.

CHAIR FISHER: Let's call to order the Broward County Planning Council regular meeting and Public Hearing for June 28, 2012. Welcome, everybody. Nancy, welcome again.

THE REPORTER: Thank you, sir.

CHAIR FISHER: All right. We'll stand for the Pledge. Commissioner Castro.

(THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE WAS LED BY COMMISSIONER ANNE CASTRO)

CHAIR FISHER: Thank you.

ROLL CALL

CHAIR FISHER: Okay. Nancy, we'll have the roll call.

THE REPORTER: Mr. Tim Bascombe. Commissioner Claudette Bruck.

COMMISSIONER BRUCK: Here.

THE REPORTER: Mr. Frederick Burton.

MR. BURTON: Present.

THE REPORTER: Ms. Sara Case. Commissioner Anne Castro.

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Here.

THE REPORTER: Commissioner Bobby DuBose.

COMMISSIONER DUBOSE: Here.

THE REPORTER: Mr. Kenneth Fink.

MR. FINK: Present.

THE REPORTER: School Board Member Patricia Good.

MS. GOOD: Here.

THE REPORTER: Ms. Mary D. Graham.

MS. GRAHAM: Here.

THE REPORTER: Commissioner Sue Gunzburger. Mr. Dan Hobby.

MR. HOBBY: Here.

THE REPORTER: Commissioner Keith London.

COMMISSIONER LONDON: Present.

THE REPORTER: Commissioner Michael S. Long.

COMMISSIONER LONG: Here.

THE REPORTER: Commissioner Rita Mack.

COMMISSIONER MACK: Here.

THE REPORTER: Commissioner Lisa Mallozzi. Ms. Sharon Ragoonan.
Mr. Louis Reinstein.

MR. REINSTEIN: Present.

THE REPORTER: Mayor Michael Udine. Mayor Lamar Fisher, Chair.

CHAIR FISHER: Here.

CONSENT AGENDA

CHAIR FISHER: As we move on to our Consent Agenda, Item C-1 to C-4, we will add to C-4, Commissioner Mallozzi and Ms. Ragoonan have requested absences as well.

MS. BOY: And Mr. Bascombe is included in the Additional Agenda Material.

CHAIR FISHER: Mr. Bascombe is on C-4. Okay.

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: I'll make a motion for the Consent Agenda.

MR. HOBBY: Second.

CHAIR FISHER: It's been moved and second. All in favor, say aye. Opposed? Motion does carry. Thank you.

Planning Council
June 28, 2012
LG/NC

VOTE PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

REGULAR AGENDA

AGENDA ITEM R-1 COUNCIL'S REPORT

CHAIR FISHER: Now we're on our Regular Agenda. R1 is Counsel's Report.

MR. MAURODIS: I don't have a report today.

AGENDA ITEM R-2- EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

CHAIR FISHER: Okay. Ms. Boy, Executive Director's Report.

MS. BOY: Good morning. First, I'd like to talk about the cancellation of the July 26 Planning Council Meeting. I talked to Mayor Fisher before the meeting, and if all items go through the meeting okay today, then with your approval, we won't have a July meeting.

So I would just ask you to vote on that at the conclusion of the Public Hearing Agenda items. The second thing I have is last month we briefly discussed the September Planning Council meeting date. I told you that there was a conflict with the room for September 27th with the County Commission.

We polled the members and found that September 20th was a workable date for at least 13 members. Five members didn't respond, and only one member had an actual conflict that was reported.

So if you would take action on that today, we can go ahead and move the meeting date. We've already reserved this room for September 20th, you know, also a Thursday, if you want to meet earlier.

CHAIR FISHER: Okay. We can take that motion at this particular time right now for September 20th. Is there a motion?

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: So moved.

MR. HOBBY: Second.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Second.

CHAIR FISHER: It's been moved and second to move our September meeting to September 20th. All in favor, say aye. Opposed? Motion does

carry. Thank you.

VOTE PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

PUBLIC HEARING

AGENDA ITEMS PH-3, PH-4 AND PH-6

CHAIR FISHER: That puts us in our Public Hearing agenda. I do want to make note that Items 5 and 6 were presented to the Land Trafficways Committee this morning with a unanimously approved recommendation for those Items 5 and 6. Anyone wish to pull an item? Is there a motion?

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: I'll move.

MR. REINSTEIN: Second.

COMMISSIONER BRUCK: Second.

CHAIR FISHER: It's been moved and second, PH-1 all the way through PH-6. Any discussion on that?

MR. BURTON: Yes.

CHAIR FISHER: Mr. Burton.

MR. BURTON: Yes. Are we going to do each one individually?

CHAIR FISHER: There's a motion to approve Items PH-1 through 6. Do you wish to pull an item?

MR. BURTON: Yes. 1, 2, and 5.

CHAIR FISHER: 1, 2, and 5. Okay. Commissioner Castro, would you change your motion to approve 3, 4, and 6?

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Yes.

COMMISSIONER BRUCK: And I'll second.

CHAIR FISHER: Okay. All in favor, say aye. Opposed? Motion does carry.

VOTE PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

QUASI-JUDICIAL HEARING

PH-1-RECERTIFICATION PCR 12-7

CHAIR FISHER: PH-1.

MS. BOY: PH-1 is a recertification of six map sites in the City of Hallandale Beach. There are four recreation and open space sites and two sites that have a historic designation. The six sites -- I'm sorry. This is the quasi-judicial --

CHAIR FISHER: Did you ask him --

MS. BOY: -- item, and the applicant has waived

CHAIR FISHER: They've waived?

MS. BOY: Yes. Sorry to interrupt.

CHAIR FISHER: That's okay.

MS. BOY: So the six items for our review consists of whether or not these map amendments are consistent and in conformity with the Broward County Land Use Plan. And staff finds that they are in conformity, and we recommend approval of the item.

CHAIR FISHER: Thank you. Mr. Burton, any questions?

MR. BURTON: Yeah.

CHAIR FISHER: Can you pull your microphone towards you? That'd be great.

MR. BURTON: So my first question is, who pays to maintain all of these parks?

MS. BOY: Who pays to maintain the parks? Well, Christy Dominguez, here, can answer the question as far as who pays for that.

CHAIR FISHER: Just identify yourself, ma'am.

MS. DOMINGUEZ: Christy Dominguez with the City of Hallandale Beach. The City pays. Both -- both the City parks are maintained by City staff. (Inaudible.)

MR. BURTON: And what -- what is the budget to maintain these parks?

MS. DOMINGUEZ: Actually, I don't have that information.

MR. BURTON: What -- what is the usage of these parks? In other words, how many people visit them? What is the -- you know, what is the (Inaudible)?

MS. DOMINGUEZ: Sunrise Park and Sunset Park are small parks, neighborhood parks that are used by neighborhood children (inaudible).

MR. BURTON: Right. But -- but how many people are actually using them? Is there a quantification of who's using them and how much they're using them?

MS. DOMINGUEZ: Primarily residents.

MR. BURTON: Okay. I mean, my -- my biggest concern with the City spending on things like parks, where there's no accountability or there's no numbers, or there's no financial analysis, is that, you know, it looks great from a political standpoint to -- to create these parks and to do these things, but, you know, my concern as a property owner is property taxes.

And when the cities and the municipalities are saying that they don't have money to -- to do things that I call are necessary, having additional small parks or things like that, the money could be used for other -- other options. With no information on revenue or usage, it makes -- makes it difficult to be in support of these types of projects.

MS. DOMINGUEZ: Actually, the City Commission had that information when they went over this issue. Out of the six sites, only two were purchased. The other four were donated land.

MR. BURTON: I understand.

CHAIR FISHER: Anyone else have any discussion on this item? Was there anyone from the development who signed up on this?

MS. BOY: No. Just Ms. Dominguez and Mr. Collins from the City staff to answer questions.

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: I will move approval.

MR. HOBBY: Second.

CHAIR FISHER: It's been moved and second. All in favor, say aye. Opposed.

MR. BURTON: Aye.

CHAIR FISHER: We have one opposed. Please show Mr. Burton's opposition.

VOTE PASSES 13 TO 1 WITH MR. BURTON VOTING NO.

PH-2 RECERTIFICATION PCR 12-8

CHAIR FISHER: PH-2.

MS. BOY: Item PH-2 is a text recertification in the City of Hallandale Beach. These -- the text amendments for the future land use plan are based on the -- the City's evaluation appraisal report of 2009. It -- it changes things -- I'm sorry.

The amendments are basically related to concurrency, water supply and conservation, redevelopment, emergency management, and smart growth principles.

The staff review of this text found that it's generally in conformity, but we're -- we're actually recommending provisional recertification, just so the City can clean up a couple of items that are not consistent with the Broward County Land Use Plan.

One was in the commercial recreation category. They have hotels listed as a -- just as a permitted use, and it needs to be ancillary to the commercial recreation use.

And the other thing was related to just cleaning up this historic -- the historic land use designation as far as what was permitted there, and adopting several policies by reference that are in other -- in other elements of the Comprehensive Plan.

So staff is recommending provisional certification -- recertification of the plan, and the City will have one year to make those changes.

CHAIR FISHER: We will hear from Mr. Burton followed by Commissioner London.

MR. BURTON: Just a general statement. I didn't approve the -- the RAC when it was brought before the City or the -- or this -- this Council. There are

several other items in this that I've discussed with Barbara. I've had all my questions answered, so it's not going to change my vote. I'm voting no. (Inaudible.) Thank you.

CHAIR FISHER: Commissioner London.

COMMISSIONER LONDON: Thank you, sir. I -- I also spoke with the Executive Director at length yesterday. I had voted no for the (inaudible) City of Hallandale, and I will continue to vote no. I found it to be applied inconsistently and selectively at times. And I also (inaudible), so I'm -- to be consistent with my votes at the City Commission, I'm voting no here, also (inaudible).

CHAIR FISHER: Very good. Any other discussion on this item? Is there a motion?

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Motion to approve PH-2.

MS. GOOD: Second.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Second.

CHAIR FISHER: Moved and second. All in favor, say aye. Opposed?

MR. BURTON: Aye.

CHAIR FISHER: Commissioner London, Mr. Burton, Ms. Graham.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Mr. Fink.

CHAIR FISHER: Mr. Fink. So the motion does carry.

VOTE PASSES 10 TO 4 WITH COMMISSIONER LONDON, MR. BURTON, MS. GRAHAM, AND MR. FINK VOTING NO.

**PH-5 AMENDMENT TO THE BROWARD COUNTY TRAFFICWAYS PLAN-
MARTIN LUTHER KING JR. BOULEVARD/HAMMONDVILLE ROAD -
PCTW 12-1**

CHAIR FISHER: PH-5, Barbara.

MS. BOY: PH-5 is a Trafficways Plan amendment in the City of Pompano Beach. As Mayor Fisher mentioned, at the beginning of this item, the Land Use/Trafficways Committee voted to support this item and support the amendment.

The current Trafficways Plan requirement is 80 feet, and this proposal is for a context sensitive corridor, is going to allow the City Planning and Community Redevelopment Agency to revitalize the area to do things like wider sidewalks, bicycle lanes, on-street parking.

So it just really gives flexibility that's not there with a traditional trafficways plan requirement. The City staff, our staff, and the County review agencies have worked really hard on this to make this recommendation and proposal happen. And the Planning Council staff supports the approval at this time of the context sensitive corridor designation.

CHAIR FISHER: And I believe it's our first one.

MS. BOY: It is our very first one. This was actually adopted into the plan in 2009. And this is the first application that's been made. We've had a lot of discussions with cities about how this could be implemented, and this is the first one.

And it took several months to get to the right of way plan. That was with approval of the agency that will be implementing it. But everyone was able to come to an agreement.

CHAIR FISHER: Mr. Burton.

MR. BURTON: First question I have is I noticed a lot of the land around there is owned by the CRA, but I would like to know what type of (inaudible) was done to the other property owners that have property adjacent to this site?

CHAIR FISHER: Do we have staff –

MS. BOY: We have –

CHAIR FISHER: -- that can respond? Mr. Dalton or Mr. Danovich? Please step forward and identify yourself.

MR. DANOVIK: Good morning. I'm Horacio Danovich, CRA Engineer, and (inaudible) to be here. If you can restate your question, I'd be happy to give you some details.

MR. BURTON: Sure, my -- my question was with regards to the adjacent property owners along this corridor, what interaction has the City had with those individuals, and what, if any, feedback have you received from those individuals with regards to this sidewalk plan?

MR. DANOVIK: Okay. The -- at the present time, the CRA owns about 70 percent of the land, the vacant land. The adjacent owners, we approach on a one-on-one basis, and showed them the plans, and asked them for their participation.

The feedback was positive across the board. In fact, all of the owners that we have talked to are willing and able to provide us the easements necessary to be able to fix the sidewalks in front of their stores and to make this whole corridor consistent across the way. And we have reached out to owners that own vacant land, and they're already participating in programs (inaudible).

MR. BURTON: Okay. So there weren't any property owners that opposed this?

MR. DANOVIK: None.

MR. BURTON: Okay. The other question I have is what's the total cost of this renovation?

MR. DANOVIK: The anticipated cost is around -- the improvements of the corridor between Dixie and 95 are \$5,000,000 at this time.

MR. BURTON: And is there any information regarding what type of, you know, additional revenues or usages, new business, or any sort of return on that investment?

MR. DANOVIK: The funds that are being used are TIF funds that the CRA has amassed over time. And we are projecting -- first -- first of all, the CRA is not just doing the corridor, but we're also building facilities that will actually bring businesses to town, which will help fund more work in the future. We have four projects, four corridor projects to begin to populate jobs in that same corridor. And we have received numerous unsolicited proposals from developers interested in coming into the corridor once the project is completed.

For example, we have -- we own a six-acre parcel on the northeast corner of MLK Boulevard and Blanche Ely, Sixth Avenue. And that parcel is slated -- is currently being platted for future commercial and retail services. That has already gotten unsolicited proposals.

We have an unsolicited proposal for a parcel that's about two acres on the corner of MLK between Second and Third. That has three unsolicited proposals, including a bank.

We have -- we're presently in the mix of finalizing designs remaining of a facility, for a facility at 731 Hallandale Road. It's fully funded by the CRA, and it's already all rented out, and it hasn't even been built. So as far as progress, we see it coming.

MR. BURTON: So are there any actual numbers, pro formas?

MR. DANOVICH: Well, I can't -- I can't I project what the -- what we're going to collect in terms of revenue, because this is our -- our first initial starting point. And all of these facilities haven't been built yet. And it will take a couple of years before they come off the ground.

We can't really predict what the revenues are going to be, what we know that each -- once each one of these are populated, we know we're going to have revenue (inaudible) and jobs.

MR. BURTON: Thank you.

CHAIR FISHER: Just (inaudible) your focus on here is also the City of Pompano Beach is going through the process of creating a TOC. There's already a corridor land use amendment that will hopefully be passed in September.

This is part of that, and we're very excited and this -- this context corridor is just -- complements it so well and creates that vision to provide local businesses to come back to Pompano and build and operate. So it's exciting.

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: And if I could just comment on what you just described in the proposals unsolicited (inaudible). If your outlay is \$5,000,000 and if a couple of those proposals get off the ground, and, again, I know it's an uncertain future and what actually happens. But I would guess that your return on investments would be a very short time period just in the way of property tax values and the return on the base, the general revenue that Pompano Beach would get from that if any of those projects are built. I think \$5,000,000 is not a risky investment.

MR. DANOVICH: Absolutely. If I may, I just want to take one second of your time today for this opportunity to thank members of the Planning Council staff, Broward County Engineering, Broward County Traffic Engineering, Broward County Transit, and members of the Water Resources Division who have helped make this possible.

This is something that took us quite a while and took a lot of coordination

Planning Council
June 28, 2012
LG/NC

with a lot of Broward agencies and engineering staff within the City planning - within the City, consultants that we retained for this purpose so that we could get to today. And it's been a tremendous effort, and I think this is a great opportunity for us to make something good the first time (inaudible).

CHAIR FISHER: Please make note that Mayor Udine's now present with us. Okay? I believe Commissioner Mack had a question. Commissioner London, you do as well?

COMMISSIONER LONDON: Yes.

CHAIR FISHER: Okay. Commissioner Mack first.

COMMISSIONER MACK: I -- my question is with this new enhancement, do the property owners lose any value at all as it relates to the onset of getting to the business or parking or anything of that nature?

MR. DANOVIK: On the contrary. Well, actually, our design includes adding over 90 parking spaces in front of the businesses along the corridor, which is actually going to bring people in front of their doors.

Some of the issues, all of the existing businesses don't have places to park; or people who come to their business don't have places to park, and they go away.

We did exactly the opposite. We will -- we're bringing people to their doors. This is actually going to enhance the businesses (inaudible).

COMMISSIONER MACK: Do they lose property as a result of this parking?

MR. DANOVIK: None whatsoever.

CHAIR FISHER: Commissioner London.

COMMISSIONER LONDON: Thank you. And certainly we all can understand where Mr. Burton's coming from. He just wants to make sure these things are paid for (inaudible).

My comment's a little bit different, basically repeating what I said downstairs in a subcommittee meeting. I think this is innovative. What we see on Las Olas, there's a great example of parking being appropriate in terms of getting out, and where it's made much more walkable.

My concerns is always, well, (inaudible) main concern has been the walkability and bicycle opportunities, and making sure the sidewalks are

friendly for people. It may be a little bit counter intuitive, but when you slow cars down, retail has better opportunity to be visible. The -- they can see the storefronts. People feel safer getting out of their cars and to have the opportunity to do their shopping. This is innovatively being utilized, and as the chair pointed out, this is one of the first times this has come to Broward County.

They need to streamline this approach and other people will see (inaudible) great opportunity to do this. Like it was said downstairs, the sidewalks at four, five feet, minimum, I'd like to see people start looking to make the sidewalks a little bit wider because people are passing with strollers going two directions, it seems to be very tight. And that little twelve to eighteen inch strip of grass that is up against the gutter is just a waste for operations and maintenance.

As Mr. Burton said earlier, you know, who's going to pay for the maintenance of the grass. Trees are very important for shade and giving people the opportunity to feel like it's a welcoming environment, but sidewalks and maybe cafes that connect the street back to the store the store back to the street. And I'm thrilled, and I'm going to support this (inaudible) today. Thank you.

MR. DANOVICH: Thank you.

CHAIR FISHER: Anything further? Ms. Graham.

MS. GRAHAM: Thank you, Chair Fisher. I just want to make the point that the wider sidewalks are a great idea, but if the property -- if the easement or the right of way has to be taken from property owners that already have limited bounds, that's where the dilemma comes in. I'm all for the wider sidewalks, but that's something that wasn't planned when they platted everything (inaudible).

Separately, we had heard a first reading on some of these projects back, I believe, in the March meeting, because right after that there was an April County Commission meeting at which Mayor Fisher spoke. And there were a lot of residents that came from Pompano that said they'd not heard anything about this. It happened at the City Commission meetings, Planning, Zoning, and all the other local approvals, and (inaudible) turned out, but they had heard about the Commission meeting and watched it.

And I wasn't here, but they all came out and spoke. So I'm only hoping that as the project moves forward, they're -- they're engaged. There's not too much for them to do now to stop it, but at least they'll have a better comfort level, because they were worried about how this could impact them and if

they would be relocated because of the recertification.

CHAIR FISHER: Ms. Graham's talking about the TOC amendment. And just to let you know, we've had now our second meeting as of last night with the concerned residents, and we will continue on that course. And we're making great progress for them to understand what a TOC and the land use is about, because that -- that was a confusion there, and what they see as a vision.

So we're working together, and I feel very confident that we'll be holding hands in September. Any other comments or questions? Commissioner Long.

COMMISSIONER LONG: As somebody who's very familiar with the area, and I believe that, you know, this is a great start of what can happen when people start working together on it.

I look at the corridor itself, and that's something that's almost been blighted over so many years. And I know the business owners have been asking for many years for assistance and help.

And I see this coming. I think it's a great opportunity for all residents in the area, as well as the coordination between this and the -- kind the old Pompano area that was supposed to be revitalized as well.

I think this is a perfect fit for what's happening. And I know you're going to be working with the residents to, you know, calm any fears they have. I do believe from a business point of view this is excellent, from a transit point of view this is excellent, and then bringing it all together with the residential areas surrounding it, that was built around it, as well, which currently are not really there. I think it's a great opportunity, so I'm in strong support of this.

CHAIR FISHER: Thank you. And please note that the corner of MLK and Dixie Highway will be the new Broward County Transit Station, just about complete. So we're almost there. That will complement this. Commissioner DuBose.

COMMISSIONER DUBOSE: Yeah, I will just add, I can really appreciate this effort, considering we -- you know, we have a CRA in our City, and we have a corridor that we're working to develop.

You know, I just say hats off to Pompano and this initiative. It's not very often that we have so many different governmental bodies that come together and produce a work product that we all can kind of, you know, nod our head in a positive way about.

But the one thing that, you know, here, and the one thing that's really good, and I know there's some concerns, but I view this more as what Commissioner Castro was saying.

This is an investment. I just think the return on this will be phenomenal, just hearing what you're saying. I mean, even, you know, in our City, in our Sistrunk corridor, we have bank permit. And that in itself just sends a message. And you have that type of development that -- that within this corridor, and the fact that this particular action is one step in a bigger direction.

Too many times we'll take a bite off the apple and chew it and try, you know, to make apple pie. But it looks as if this particular step is more comprehensive and looking forward. And it's -- I mean, I think this discussion, regardless, I don't think we can really grasp the impact that all this is going to make for the City of Pompano and just for Broward County as a whole. So I -- I just wanted to say hats off to, you know, the staff that was involved in putting this together.

CHAIR FISHER: Thank you. Mr. Hobby.

MR. HOBBY: Yeah, just to add to this. You know, we're viewing this sort of in isolation, but it really is just part of a much larger vision for the area, the old Pompano area, the old downtown, of which this corridor was, 50 years ago, a thriving part of the downtown. And then, with racial strife and such, it became sort of fallow for 50 years.

So it's really being returned to the place it used to play in Pompano's history. So this is an important part. And I'll tell you, I drive this twice a day, and I've been observing the CRA's activities here and attended some of their meetings.

And there is no I can't imagine how you could have a greater effort to get people involved, in fact, some might even say too involved or -- but, be that as it may, the final product, I think, is well worth the effort.

CHAIR FISHER: Any further discussion? Is there a motion?

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: I'll move the item, and I have one comment, please.

CHAIR FISHER: Sure. It's been moved. Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER LONG: Second.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Second.

CHAIR FISHER: Thank you, Commissioner Castro. Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: First, I agree with most of the comments here. I think this -- I think the residents actually -- there was a little rising tide. If you improve the corridor, you improve the residential neighborhood and the value of their property. And I think people understand that after a while.

I think you provide services and goods that they want to walk to, drive to, get to. The fact that you're incorporating the parking, and, as we said, Dania Beach along US-1 was doing this the hard way.

My point is it would be nice -- I don't know what the protocol on this is, but from a Planning Council perspective, and I'm sure the City could do this, but really from Planning Council and the other 30 cities, you might want to let them know about this new overlay.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Oh, yeah, in time.

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: You know, it's a success, and, you know, really put it out there -- because there's a lot of drive-through areas in Broward County. I was born and raised down here. I was born and raised in Fort Lauderdale and I've been living in Dania Beach. There are those patches of commercial areas that people just kind of zoom through, and the houses behind them kind of start falling apart, and everything kind of goes down.

And that's what this tool does. It makes it a more viable, livable, breathing entity for the neighborhood as well as the commercial corridor. And I think more cities need to take advantage of this opportunity. Commissioner London said that kind of streamlined it a bit and put all the pieces together, we have a model they can follow. So if there's a way to really promote that out there, I would please encourage you to do that.

MS. BOY: I just want to say, we'd be happy to distribute the specific right of way plan that the City has come up with to all the other cities, because we have had discussions with other cities in the three years since this has been adopted in the plan, and we just haven't seen any applications yet.

We've seen interest, but this could be what cities need to see if we're successful, to be motivated to -- you know, to work.

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Yeah.

CHAIR FISHER: Very good. Any further discussion? It's been moved and

second. All in favor, say aye. Opposed? Motion carries unanimously. Thank you.

MR. DANOVICH: Thank you very much.

VOTE PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

OTHER BUSINESS

NEXT REGULAR SCHEDULED PLANNING COUNCIL MEETING

CHAIR FISHER: All right. Now that we've completed our agenda, we will now vote on cancellation of July's Council meeting.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)

CHAIR FISHER: Is there a motion?

COMMISSIONER CASTRO: Move approval.

COMMISSIONER LONG: Second.

CHAIR FISHER: It's been moved and second to cancel our July meeting. All in favor, say aye. Opposed? Motion carries. Thank you.

VOTE PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

CHAIR FISHER: So our next meeting will take place on August 23rd.

MS. BOY: August 23rd.

CHAIR FISHER: And we will see you then. Thank you so much.

ADJOURMENT

CHAIR FISHER: All right. This meeting's adjourned.

(The meeting concluded at 10:35 a.m.)