

Scoring Summary Sheet

Combination Initial and Final Evaluation Meeting
 RFP No.: PNC2127421P1, Concession for Holiday Fantasy of Lights at Tradewinds Park
 Date: January 27, 2026
 Location: GC 430

Columns to be deleted if Local Preference not applicable

(Pick from Drop Box) (Pick from Drop Box)

Firm Name	Mary Noe	Pamela Sanguino	Phillip Dunlap	Total	Ranking	Locally Based/Non-Local Business	Eligible for Local Preference	Rank after Local Preference
Alice Entertainment LLC dba Alice Scenic Studios	80.00	80.00	91.00	251.00	1	Non-Local	Not Eligible	2
Brandano Displays, Inc.	76.25	84.25	90.25	250.75	2	Locally Based	Eligible	1

TIE BREAKER CRITERIA

Procurement Code, Section 21.42(d), Criteria for Breaking Ties

- (1) Vendor with location that:
 - is a **locally based** business;
 - if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a **locally based subsidiary**;
 - if the foregoing does not resolve the tie, the vendor that is a **local business**.
- (2) Vendor which provides domestic partner benefits.
- (3) Vendor that maintains a drug-free workplace program.
- (4) Vendor that has the lowest dollar volume of work
- (5) A re-vote or re-assessment of only the tied vendors.
- (6) Preference to vendor receiving a majority of the total first-place votes.

Formula for calculating 5% range

- If No. 1 Ranked Firm is non-local:
- 1) Take Total Points X 5%
 - 2) Subtract that amount from total points
 - 3) Any firm's total points within that range are eligible to move up in Ranking over non-local vendor

12.55
238.45

Local Preference may not be applied to Federally funded/governed procurements DELETE if not applicable

PREFERENCE FOR LOCAL VENDORS - RFP's

For all other competitive solicitations in which objective factors used to evaluate the responses from vendors are assigned point totals, if, upon the completion of final rankings (technical and price combined, if applicable) by the evaluation committee, a nonlocal vendor is the highest ranked vendor and one or more Local Businesses (as defined by Section 1-74 of the Broward County Code of Ordinances) are within five percent (5%) of the total points obtained by the nonlocal vendor, the highest ranked Local Business shall be deemed to be the highest ranked vendor overall, and the County shall proceed to negotiations with that vendor. If impasse is reached, the County shall next proceed to negotiations with the next highest ranked Local Business that was within five percent (5%) of the total points obtained by the nonlocal vendor, if any.

SCORING SHEET

Combination Initial and Final Evaluation Meeting
 RFP No.: PNC2127421P1; Holiday Fantasy of Lights at Tradewinds Park
 Date: January 27, 2026
 Location: GC 430

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	Alice Entertainment LLC dba Alice Scenic Studios	Brandano Displays, Inc.
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum Points = 20)			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	5	3	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	15	12	14
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum Points = 25)			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	5	5	3
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d	5	4	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.e	5	4	4
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum Points = 20)			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	15	13	12
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b	5	4	4
WORKLOAD OF FIRM			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	5	3
PRO FORMA (Total Maximum Points = 10)			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	10	5	3
Points Entered by Purchasing			
Location - See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	0	5
Pricing See Evaluation Criteria - question 6.a	10	10.00	9.25
Pricing See Evaluation Criteria - question 6.b	5	5.00	0.00
TOTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING) Maximum 100 Points	100	80.00	76.25

Mary Noe

DATE:

Name

By submitting this document I certify that I have abided by the Cone of Silence Ordinance and have not been influenced or coerced by anyone in the assignment of the points by me for this procurement.

SCORING SHEET

Combination Initial and Final Evaluation Meeting
 RFP No.: PNC2127421P1; Holiday Fantasy of Lights at Tradewinds Park
 Date: January 27, 2026
 Location: GC 430

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	Alice Entertainment LLC dba Alice Scenic Studios	Brandano Displays, Inc.
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum Points = 20)			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	5	4	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	15	14	14
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum Points = 25)			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	5	5	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	5	5	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	5	3	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d	5	3	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.e	5	4	4
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum Points = 20)			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	15	11	13
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b	5	3	4
WORKLOAD OF FIRM			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	5	5
PRO FORMA			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	10	8	9
Points Entered by Purchasing			
Location - See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	0	5
Pricing See Evaluation Criteria - question 6.a	10	10.00	9.25
Pricing See Evaluation Criteria - question 6.b	5	5.00	0.00
TOTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING) Maximum 100 Points	100	80.00	84.25
Pamela Sanguino			
Name		DATE:	

SCORING SHEET

Combination Initial and Final Evaluation Meeting
 RFP No.: PNC2127421P1; Holiday Fantasy of Lights at Tradewinds Park
 Date: January 27, 2026
 Location: GC 430

Evaluation Criteria - Project Specific Criteria (Complete text of questions provided separately)	Maximum Points	Alice Entertainment LLC dba Alice Scenic Studios	Brandano Displays, Inc.
ABILITY OF PROFESSIONAL PERSONNEL (Total Maximum Points = (20))			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.a	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 1.b	15	15	15
PROJECT APPROACH (Total Maximum Points = 25)			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.a	5	4	3
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.b	5	5	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.c	5	5	4
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.d	5	4	5
See Evaluation Criteria - question 2.e	5	4	4
PAST PERFORMANCE (Total Maximum Points = 20)			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.a	15	14	15
See Evaluation Criteria - question 3.b	5	5	5
WORKLOAD OF FIRM			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 4	5	5	5
PRO FORMA			
See Evaluation Criteria - question 7	10	10	10
Points Entered by Purchasing			
Location - See Evaluation Criteria - question 5	5	0	5
Pricing See Evaluation Criteria - question 6.a	10	10.00	9.25
Pricing See Evaluation Criteria - question 6.b	5	5.00	0.00
TOTAL SCORE (CALCULATED BY PURCHASING) Maximum 100 Points	100	91.00	90.25
Phillip Dunlap			
Name		DATE:	